

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,017	11/21/2003	Jonathon Fischer	03-1052	2784
20306	7590 06/22/2005		EXAM	INER
MCDONNE	ELL BOEHNEN HULBE	ВАНТА,	BAHTA, KIDEST	
300 S. WACI	KER DRIVE			2.222.222.222
32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60606			
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/720,017	FISCHER ET AL.
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Kidest Bahta	2125
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  peply within the statutory minimum of the  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC  ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 17-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest s) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-23 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		-
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in iority documents have bee lau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
		·
Attachment(s)	<b></b> □	0 (DT0 446)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/03 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/02/05.</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 2125

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claim20, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

# **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 17-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 21, 22, 31-32, 27 and 37 of copending Application No. 09/595,133. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the organization element in the claims and their functionality are merely obvious variations of each other. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2125

was made to modify claim 17 by referring to "specifying, ordering, and manufacturing hot runner system" in the preamble of the instant application claim. Whereas in the copending Application 09/595,133, Claim 17, recites, "receiving an order with customized specifications for a hot runner system" which both are manufacturing customized the hot runner. Renaming like features merely produces the same sought after result.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Allowable Subject Matter

### 3. Claims 1-7 and 9 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The allowablility of the claims resides, at least in part, in that closest prior art of record Bjornson et al. (U.S. patent 6,173,210) does not disclose or suggest, alone or in combination, a business subsystem in communication with the configuring subsystem that calculates a cost for manufacturing the customized injection molding system and that determines a schedule for completing the customized injection molding system and a manufacturing subsystem in communication with the configuring subsystem that provides input for manufacturing the customized injection molding system generated by the configuring subsystem in combination with the other elements and features of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,017

Art Unit: 2125

The terminal disclaimer filed on January 10, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of U. S. Patent 6,675,055 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Kidest Bahta whose telephone number is 571-272-3737. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/720,017

Art Unit: 2125

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAG system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

Page 5

Kidest Bahta

June 17,2005